

# FY26 CBAS Proposal and Grant Award Activity Report

## Historical Comparison

A record number of proposals (142; *Figure 1*) were submitted in FY26, well above the 5-year average of 60. A lower number of grants (25; *Figure 3*) were awarded compared to the previous two years with a 5-year average of 29. Funds requested through proposals in FY26 (\$63,779,801; *Figure 2*) were up nearly 2-fold compared to FY25 and FY24. The total new funding for FY26 was down significantly compared to FY25 and FY24 (*Figure 4*), though still well above FY16-FY23. Altogether, \$6,326,420 in new funding was awarded in FY26 compared to a previous 5-year average of \$6,692,101.

Figure 1: Proposals Submitted




Figure 2: Proposal Funds Requested




Figure 3: Grants Awarded





Figure 4: Total New Grant Funding



Analysis by Funding Agency

Proposals were submitted to 43 different funding agencies in FY26 (Figure 5). This included a mix of federal, private, and state agencies with top single agencies being the NSF and USDA. Awards came from 13 different agencies in FY26, with the majority of funds coming from the NSF (Figure 6).

Figure 5: FY26 Proposal Submissions by Funding Agency







Figure 6: FY26 Awards by Funding Agency



### Analysis by Faculty Rank

In FY26, proposals were submitted by a good mix of faculty ranks, with assistant professors submitting the most proposals (65; *Figure 7*). The majority of grants in FY26 were awarded to full professors (15 out of 25; *Figure 8*).



### Proposal Analysis by Administrative Unit

A total of 60 unique faculty from eleven different CBAS administrative units served as PI on grant proposals in FY26. Altogether 91 unique CBAS faculty served as either PI or Co-PI on a grant proposal in FY26. A breakdown of proposal numbers, unique PIs, and unique PIs/Co-PIs by administrative unit can be found in *Figure 9*. Proposal funding requested by each administrative unit can be found in *Figure 10*.

Figure 9: FY26 Proposals - Proposals, PIs, Co-PIs by Administrative Unit





Figure 10: FY26 Proposals - Proposal Funding Requested by Administrative Unit



### Grant Award Analysis by Administrative Unit

A total of 22 unique faculty from ten different CBAS administrative units served as PI on grants awarded in FY26. Altogether 34 unique CBAS faculty served as either PI or Co-PI on a grant awarded in FY26. A breakdown of grant award numbers, unique PIs, and unique PIs/Co-PIs by administrative unit can be found in *Figure 11*. Grant funding awarded by primary administrative unit can be found in *Figure 12*.

Figure 11: FY26 Awards - Awards, PIs, Co-PIs by Administrative Unit





Figure 12: FY26 Awards - External Funding by Administrative Unit



Percent Contribution Analysis by Administrative Unit

Cayuse proposal forms allow PIs to report the percent contribution of all personnel to a grant proposal. Percent contribution to proposals submitted in FY26 by administrative unit is reported in *Figure 13*. Percent contribution to grants awarded in FY26 by administrative unit is reported in *Figure 14*.

Figure 13: FY26 Proposals - Percent Contribution by Administrative Unit





Figure 14: FY26 Awards - Percent Contribution by Administrative Unit



## Percent Contribution Analysis by PI/Co-PI

Percent contribution to proposals submitted in FY26 by PI/Co-PI is reported in *Figure 15*. Percent contribution to grants awarded in FY26 by PI/Co-PI is reported in *Figure 16*.




Figure 16: FY26 Awards - Percent Contribution by PI/Co-PI

